



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Review &amp; Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS: 2013_PRR1_3341</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dust ion-acoustic K-dV and modified K-dV solitons in a dusty degenerate dense plasma</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:** Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Over all the paper is nicely written, Figures are also good. There appears some minor corrections to be included before publication.</p> <p>In the introduction please give the original equation of Chandrasekhar pg 362 of book of Chandrasekhar, also give some new references which are based on the above equation of state of Chandrasekhar like Moghanjoughi, M.: Astrophys. Space Sci. 332, 187 (2011)</p> <p>Chandra et al. (Astrophys. and Space Sci. (2012), 342, 417-424) ,</p> <p>Chandra et al. (Astro .Phys. and Space Sci. (2012), DOI: 10.1007/s10509-012-1186-3), Akbari-</p> <p>Moghanjoughi, M.: Astrophys. Space Sci. 332, 187 (2011)</p> <p>In section II what does <math>\mu</math> (meu) mean.</p> <p>In the end of section II probably <math>\rho</math> (rho) is mistakenly typed 'p' check it. At the end a short physical explanation will probably make the paper more appreciable</p> <p>Reference 21 Publisher is University of Chicago press, The dover publication has year 1957 and not 1939.</p>	<p>Thank you very much for your appreciation. We are really grateful to you for your comment, which help us to develop our manuscript.</p> <p>We have added some new references according to the suggestion of the reviewer.</p> <p>We have explained about "<math>\mu</math>" and "<math>\rho</math>" in section:II.</p> <p>We have made the correction.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The above corrections are sufficient.	We have carefully checked the paper to correct all the mistakes.